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## Introduction

In this paper, the study is carried out to find the reasons for Women to enter into Panchayat Raj and her role and present status is been investigated.

The family wanted to retain a seat for which usually a male member would contest. But, with an introduction of Panchayat Raj reservation for a Women was also introduced which dew Women into politics. The Karnataka panchayat raj act draws power for women to contest and to elect in rural local self government with reservation of seats. Women as a leader actively working at home and for the people enable them to work better by sharing power at local level. Women are more accurate to take in decision making process, and women will compel the government agencies to implement the programmes and schemes.

## Objectives:

1. To understand the historical backgrounds of women in panchayats
2. To examine demographic profile of women in panchayats
3. To study the political status of women
4. To understand the functions and role of the members of the panchayat raj institutions concerning health and family welfare activities
5. To analyze the problems of women in panchayat.
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## Universe of the study:

The present study is based on primary source hence the study is empirical in nature. For the present study Gulbarga district panchayat raj with selected villege panchayats and the most backward in terms of development in Karnataka is selected out of total number of panchayat member i.e, gram panchayat taluka panchayat and zilla panchayat, 150 women members were selected for the study.

## 1. Historical Background

The Karnataka government recognized the fact that the welfare and economic development programmes for women have not proved to the sufficient for effective and durable change in the status of women, and deciding to delegate women for managerial roles and particulars in the decision making process. Accordingly, in 1983 the Karnataka government launched the panchayat system in the state. The zilla parishats taluka panchatyat samitis, madal panchayats and Nyaya panchayats act 1983, received the presidential assent in july 1985. Elections were held in January 1987 and these institutions started functioning from april 1987. To ensure adequate participation of women in these local institutions, $25 \%$ seats were reserved for women, out of which one seat again was reserved for SC/ST.

Some of the reasons women got into Panchayat Raj are:

1. The family wanted to retain a seat for which usually a male member would contest. But, with a introduction of a Panchayat Raj reservation for a women was also introduced which dew women into politics
2. Women who are actively working for the people wanted to contest on their own because they felt it would enable them to work better as they.
3. Could have better knowledge a government schemes and also get the government agencies to implement the programmes and schemes

## 2. Demographic profile:

Table No. 1
Age of the respondent

| Sl. No. | Age group | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $21-30$ | 33 | 22.00 |
| 2 | $31-40$ | 54 | 36.00 |


| 3 | $41-50$ | 25 | 16.66 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 51 above | 38 | 25.33 |
| Total: |  |  | 150 |

The data from this table reveals that a higher $36 \%$ of respondent's age group belongs to $31-40$. While $25 \%$ of the respondents are from the 51 - Above age group $22 \%$ of the respondents are from the age group of $21-30$ and $16.66 \%$ of the respondents are from $41-50$ age group which is the lowest percentage of the entire group.

Table No. 2
Education Level of the Respondents

| Sl. No. | Educational attainment | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Illiterate | 81 | 54.00 |
| 2 | Primary | 44 | 29.33 |
| 3 | Middle | 16 | 10.66 |
| 4 | Secondary and above | $09^{*}$ | 6.00 |
| Total: |  |  |  |
| 150 |  |  |  |

Education level of the respondents is a very important variable for the study. United Nations had defined (1982) literacy as an ability of person to read and write with understanding. Every individual's status depends on his educational level.

From the above table, we come to know that among the 150 respondents, $54 \%$ are illiterate $29.33 \%$ obtained education up to primary, and $10.66 \%$ obtained education up to middle while only $6 \%$ have obtained education up to secondary and above. Point to be noted that $50 \%$ of the respondents are illiterate.

| Table No.3 |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marital Status of the Respondents |  |  |  |
| Sl. No. Marital status Frequency Percentages <br> 1 Unmarried 4 2.66 <br> 2 Married 134 89.33 <br> 3 Widow 12 8.00 <br> Total:    |  |  |  |

From the above table it may be ascertained that, $89.3 \%$ of the respondents are married, $8 \%$ are widow and only $2.66 \%$ are unmarried.

Table No. 4
Family type

| Sl. No. | Nuclear family | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Nuclear family | 52 | 34.66 |
| 2 | Joint family | 98 | 65.33 |
|  | Total: | 150 | $100 \%$ |

The family is the primary unit of human society. On the basis of the depth of generations, families can be classified into joint families and nuclear families. A joint family consist of Blood relatives living together under one roof, participating in common meal, worship and holding property jointly. The nuclear family is small it is more than two generations deep that is only husband \& wife with or without children live together.

As seen in the table 4, a large majority of the respondents (65.33\%) are living in joint families and only about $34.66 \%$ belonging to nuclear families, this shows that the process of modernization has no nearing upon the integrity of the families. As the modernization goes on, it is expected that the individuals, especially women would find it more preferable to establish independent nuclear families, but above cited data speaks differently.

Table No. 5
Occupation of Respondents

| Sl. No. | Occupation type | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Household | 43 | 28.66 |
| 2 | Cottage industry | 08 | 5.06 |
| 3 | Cattle field | 12 | 24.00 |
| 4 | Agriculture labour | 73 | 48.66 |
| 5 | Employee | 14 | 9.33 |
|  | Total: | 150 | 100\% |

Occupation speaks of economic status of panchayat women. We understand that in the sample survey, among 150 respondents, $48.66 \%$ respondents are engaged in Agricultural activities. $28.66 \%$ of panchayat women engaged in household activities. $9.33 \%$ are employees, $24 \%$ are engaged in cattle field and only $5.06 \%$ of the total respondents are engaged in Cottage Industry.

Table No. 6
Respondent's Income

| Sl. No. | Income (in Rs.) | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Rs. Less than 5000 | 119 | 79.33 |
| 2 | Rs. 5001-10000 | 30 | 20.00 |


| 3 | Rs.10001-25000 | 01 | 0.66 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | Agriculture Labour | 00 | 00 |
|  | TOTAL: | 150 | $100 \%$ |

Income is one of the indicator to measure the economic condition of the family. The above table shows the monthly income of the panchayat women's husband.

It is evident that only $0.66 \%$ of the respondents i.e. only one earn income between Rs. 10001-25000. $20 \%$ of the respondents earn between RS. 5001-10000. interestingly, $79.33 \%$ of the respondents earn less than RS.5000/-.

## 3. Political status of women

Man is a Political being. He cannot himself aloof from Political activities. With a view to unearth the respondent's opinion regarding contesting for elections, we enquired the respondents whether they contested for election. Following table shows the respondents interest in contesting for elections.

Table No. 7
Respondents' opinion regarding contesting Election

| Sl. No. | No. of times contested election | Frequency | Percentages |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | 130 | 86.66 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 2 | 12 | 8.00 |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 3 or more | 08 | 5.34 |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total: | 150 | $100 \%$ |

The above table gives the following information:
a) Of the total 150 respondents, 130 respondents have contested for election only once. And their percentage to the total is as high as $86.66 \%$.
b) 12 of the respondents have contested election twice and their percentage to the total is $8 \%$.
c) Only 8 respondents have contested election for 3 or more times and their percentage to the total is only $5.34 \%$.
d) From the above data we learn that significant number of respondents have contested election only once.
e) However it is interesting to note that all the respondents are willing to contest for election respective of number of times they contest.

Table No. 8
Number of Times the respondents have attended the Meetings

| Sl. No. | Number of Times | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | N.A. | 00 | 00 |
| 2 | 1 to 5 times | 15 | 10.00 |
| 3 | 6 times and above | 135 | 90.00 |
| $r$ | Total: | 150 | $100 \%$ |

The above table shows that significant number of respondents i.e. 135 respondents have attended the meeting for more than 6 times and their parentage to the total is as high as $90 \%$. 15 respondents have attended the meeting for 1 to 5 times and their percentage to the total is $10 \%$. It is important to note that everybody have attended the meeting without fail. It shows their awareness about attending the meetings.

Table No. 9
Respondents' Membership in other Societies

| Sl. No. | Members | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | No Response | 12 | 8.0 |
| 2 | Women organization | 73 | 48.7 |
| 3 | Co-operative society | 29 | 19.3 |
| 4 | Farmers Society | 14 | 9.3 |
| 5 | Others | 22 | 14.7 |
| $r$ | TOTAL: | 150 | $100 \%$ |

A close analysis of the above reveals that of the total 150 respondents, $48.7 \%$ of respondents are members of Women Organization, $19.3 \%$ are members of Co-operative societies, and $9.3 \%$ are members of farmers Society. $14.7 \%$ are members of other Societies. Interestingly, 12 of the respondent's i.e $8 \%$ of the respondents do not have membership of any of the Societies.

Table No. 10
Source of Inspiration to Enter Panchayat

| Sl. No. | Source of inspiration | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Social welfare | 66 | 44.00 |
| 2 | Village improvement | 19 | 12.66 |
| 3 | Family pressure | 21 | 14.00 |
| 4 | Women welfare | 08 | 5.33 |


| 5 | People pressure | 36 | 24.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |

The above data shows that 66 respondents out of 150 have entered Panchayat to extend their Social service and their percentage to the total is $44 \%, 12.66 \%$ of respondents i.e. 19 respondents have entered Panchayat with a view to improve their village. 21 respondents have entered Panchayat due to their family pressure and their percentage is $14 \%$. 36 respondents have entered Panchayat because of people's pressure and their percentage is $24.5 .33 \%$ of the total respondents have entered Panchayat with intention of Women Welfare.

Table No 11
Respondents' knowledge about Panchayat Activities

| Sl. No. | Opinion | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Yes | 147 | 98.00 |
| 2 | No | 03 | 2.00 |
|  | TOTAL: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |

From the analysis of the above table we learn that, $98 \%$ of the respondents are aware of Panchayat activities and their number is as high as 147 . Only 3 respondents are not aware of the activities of Panchayat and their percentage to the total is only $2 \%$.

Table No. 12
Respondents Programs involved

| Sl. No. | Programs | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Road construction | 30 | 20.00 |
| 2 | Drainage system | 02 | 1.34 |
| 3 | Toilet construction | 28 | 18.66 |
| 4 | Bore well | 36 | 24.00 |
| 5 | Electricity | 18 | 12.00 |
| 6 | None of these | 36 | 24.00 |
| $r r r o r$ |  |  |  |
| $r \mid r$ | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |  |

A close study of the above table reveals that of the total 150 respondents, 30 respondents have involved themselves in Road construction program and their percentage to the total us $20 \%$. $24 \%$ of the respondents are concerned about water facility and have involved in Bore Well digging program and their number id 36.28 respondents are interested and involved in Toilet construction program and their percentage to the total is $18.66 \% .12 \%$ of the respondent i.e. 18 respondents are concerned about Electricity facility and hence involved in the same. Only $1.34 \%$
of the respondents are Drainage system program. It is to be noted that as many as 36 respondents are not involved in any of the programs and their percentage to the total is $24 \%$.

Table No. 13
Respondents involvement in Women's Development Programs

| Sl. No. | Women programs | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Ladies Toilet | 60 | 40.00 |
| 2 | Pension scheme for aged | 02 | 1.34 |
| 3 | Widows pension | 15 | 10.00 |
| 4 | Others | 23 | 15.33 |
| 5 | None of these | 50 | 33.33 |
| $r r$ TOTAL: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |  |

A study of the above table reveals that 60 respondents are involved in Ladies Toilet construction program and their percentage is 40 . Only $1.34 \%$ i.e. only 2 respondents have involved in facilitating Pension scheme for aged. 15 respondents are involved in facilitating Widow Pension and their percentage to the total is $10 \%$. 23 respondents are involved in other programs and their percentage to the total is $15.33 \%$. $33.33 \%$ of respondents are not involved in any of the programs and their number is 50 .

Table No. 14
Attitudes of Males towards Respondents

| Sl. No. | Attitude | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Equal | 134 | 89.34 |
| 2 | Inferior | 16 | 10.66 |
|  | Total: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |

From the analysis of the above table we can understand that, $89.34 \%$ of the respondents expressed that male have not made any discrimination and in fact treated them equal. But 10.66 respondents have contrary opinion i.e. they opine that men have inferior attitude towards them.

Table No. 15
Respondents Consideration Suggestion
Sl. No. $\begin{aligned} & \text { Attitude } \\ & \text { Frequency }\end{aligned}$ Percentages

| 1 | Yes | 132 | 88.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | No | 18 | 12.00 |
|  | TOTAL: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |

The analysis of the above data reveals that, 132 respondents i.e. $88 \%$ opine that their suggestions are being considered in Panchayats. And 18 respondents opined that their suggestions are not being considered in Panchayats.

## 4. Health and family welfare activities

Table No. 16
Awareness of Health and family Planning Activities

| Sl. No. | Programs | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Health and family planning | 20 | 13.3 |
| 2 | Pulse polio | 20 | 13.3 |
| 3 | Health camp | 22 | 14.7 |
| 4 | Sanitation | 06 | 4.0 |
| 5 | Safe distilled water | 08 | 5.3 |
| 6 | None of these | 74 | 49.3 |
| Total: |  |  |  |

Analysis of the above table indicates following totally 40 respondents are aware of Health \& Family planning and Pulse Polio activities and their percentage to the total is $13.3 \%$ each. $14.7 \%$ of respondents are aware of Health Camp activities and their number to the total is 22. Only $4 \%$ respondents are aware of Sanitation and $5.3 \%$ are aware of safe distilled water, It is strange and sorry to note that as many as 74 respondents are not aware of any of these activities and their percentage to the total is nearly $49.3 \%$.

Table No. 17
Participation in Health and family planning programs

| Sl. No. | Programs | Frequency | Percentages |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Health program | 48 | 32.00 |
| 2 | Immunization | 22 | 14.66 |
| 3 | Women and children health program | 20 | 13.34 |
| 4 | None of these | 60 | 40.00 |
|  |  | Total: | 150.00 |

From the above table we learn that 48 respondents participated /in Health Program and their percentage to the total is $32 \%$. 22 respondents participated in Immunization program and 20
in Women \& Children Health Program and their percentage is $14.66 \%$ and $13.34 \%$ respectively. But again it is sorry to note that $40 \%$ of the respondents do not participate in none of the Programs and their number to the total is 60 .

Table No. 18
Respondents suggestion to Improve Participation of Women in Panchayat

| Sl. No. | Suggestions | Frequency | Percentages |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Adult education | 41 | 27.33 |
| 2 | Compulsory attendance in Panchayat Meetings | 83 | 55.33 |
| 3 | Awareness of women development program s | 26 | 17.34 |
| $r$ Total: | 150.00 | $100 \%$ |  |

Study of the above table reveals that $55.33 \%$ respondents have suggested the compulsory attendance in Panchayat meetings and $27.33 \%$ have suggested Adult Education as a means to improve participation in Panchayat and $17.34 \%$ respondents suggested that awareness of Women Development Programs can exert influence to participate in Panchayat.

## 5. Problems of women in Panchayat

The role of Panchayat Raj members could play in the panchayat process was only inconsequential or less fructified after all the structure and process of Panchayat are equally pivotal as they really bring into bear and entitle the role of members to perform. If the overall scenario or the story of Panchayats was largely despairing another definitely disquieting aspect is that one half of rural population was virtually kept out of Panchayat arena.

Since the establishment of Panchayat Raj in independent India effort to secure and enable women to participation in rural development process was evident. But the self interested male politicians used to recruit those women who are apt to benefit in their design or only those who are not expected to and capable of threatening their hegemony. In other words, the able and willing women could not come through election simply because the very purpose of family or group domination might than face challenges. It was not the ability and worth of women members but they passively and complicity that actually determined their membership.

They could not acquire political experience take up issues/programmers concerning women, articulate demand or represent gender. Seen in over all perspective, women's contribution to the panchayat raj decision making was out-and-out inconsequential.

## Suggestions:

1. Women members should exercise powers assigned to them efectivly.
2. They should not depend on the male co-members or family members.
3. Women should take independent decisions in the interest of all.
4. Women members should be educated.
5. Women members should develop political consciousness. They should grab opportunities.

## Conclusion:

Though women comprise half of the Indian population they have been subjected to discriminatory social ethos resulting in denial of equal of status and opportunities in social economic and political field. Further, more rural women lag far behind their country part urban women.

Half hearted efforts were made to improve conditions of rural women. From 1993 on words women have got the meaningful and even chance to occupy one -third seats in panchayats and further it has to de increased to $50 \%$.

Women panchayat member are emerging out of their homes, they are moving from place to place, word to word sometime accompanied by male colleagues. People are coming to the, their opinion are sought. Villagers are increasingly led to concede that women panchayat members are also capable and are to be counted upon. These are some emphatic changes already visible, which would not have happened without reservation provision.

Reservation is a means not an end, not a penance but only a precursor. To make it prolific and successful both men and women should strive level best to ensure that the end is achieved.
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